RFQ/RFP20-08
ADDENDUM NO. 2
EL DORADO IRRIGATION DISTRICT
MODIFICATION OF WATER RIGHT PERMIT 21112 – ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
PROJECT NO. 16003.01
September 28, 2020

To: All Potential Proposers

THIS IS AN ADDENDUM TO WHICH SPECIAL ATTENTION SHOULD BE GIVEN IN ORDER TO PRESERVE THE VALIDITY OF ANY PROPOSAL SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE ABOVE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP). THE RFP IS REVISED, MODIFIED, AND CLARIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

The proposal due date and time is UNCHANGED and remains as follows:

Due Date: October 7, 2020
Due Time: 3:00 PM Local Time

Item 1 – Questions received and answered by District:

Question 1: When will the hydrologic modelling results be available? Does EID believe their scope/results will sufficiently address the recreation flow concerns?

Answer 1: The schedule for the hydrologic modeling is outlined in Zanjero’s proposal, which is included as Exhibit F to the RFQ/RFP. EID believes the tasks outlined in Zanjero’s proposal will sufficiently model potential changes in flows and be responsive to concerns raised regarding recreation flow. More information on the scope of the modeling effort is available in the Agenda Item Summary (AIS) that was presented to the EID Board of Directors on August 10, 2020. The AIS is available on EID’s website at: https://www.eid.org/home/showdocument?id=13875
Question 2: What is driving the October 2021 approval deadline (originally spring 2021). Funding?

Answer 2: The previous target for certification of the Final EIR was updated to October 2021 due to the anticipated time needed to conduct the modeling, coordinate with stakeholders, prepare the Draft EIR, and meet public review requirements.

Question 3: When will the decision be made whether the SMUD diversion will be from the White Rock Powerhouse Penstock vs. from Slab Creek, and what will drive that decision?

Answer 3: It is currently unknown when the decision will be made whether the SMUD diversion will be from the White Rock Powerhouse Penstock or from Slab Creek Reservoir. Some considerations that may drive that decision include, but are not limited to, coordination with SMUD operations, engineering and construction feasibility of new facilities, and potential impacts identified during environmental review.

Question 4: Has EID made a final decision to pursue the time extension separately from the infrastructure component? The NOP indicated the project includes both, while the RFP opens the doors to separate decisions.

Answer 4: EID is pursuing the time extension separately from the change petition to add additional points of diversion/re-diversion. The infrastructure component will be analyzed at a programmatic level in the EIR for adding additional points of diversion. Additional information regarding the separation of the time extension and the change petition to add additional points of diversion/re-diversion is provided in the AIS linked in Answer 1.

Question 5: Do you have any preliminary routes you can share for the pipelines emanating from the White Rock Powerhouse Penstock or Slab Creek Reservoir? Do you have any general location(s) being considered for the water treatment plant?

Answer 5: Preliminary pipeline alignments and new water treatment plant sites are identified in the 2013 Integrated Water Resources Master Plan, which is available on EID’s website at: https://www.eid.org/home/showdocument?id=3554. However, as stated in the NOP, these locations may change as development occurs and the geographic demand for water is refined through periodic master planning updates.

THIS ADDENDUM AND ALL OTHERS ISSUED SHALL BE PART OF THE PROPOSAL AND CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.
END OF ADDENDUM NO. 2