To: All Potential Proposers

THIS IS AN ADDENDUM TO WHICH SPECIAL ATTENTION SHOULD BE GIVEN IN ORDER TO PRESERVE THE VALIDITY OF ANY PROPOSAL SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE ABOVE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP). THE RFP IS REVISED, MODIFIED, AND CLARIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

The proposal due date and time is UNCHANGED and remains as follows:

Due Date: October 22, 2020
Due Time: 3:00 PM Local Time

Item 1 – Questions received and answered by District:

Question 1: Considering the vast differences between the Alternatives being considered by the District and that the District appears to favor Alternative No. 2, is EID expecting the proposer to provide a detailed scope and fee estimate for the 50%, 75%, 90 and 100% design for both Alternatives? OR Can the proposal include engineering for the 30% Design Memorandum (Task 5) for both Alternatives as outlined in the RFP, and then include a detailed scope and fee estimate for full design assuming Alternative 2 only?

Answer 1: Once the 30% design memo determines the most cost effective alternative that will be the only alternative that will continue through design.

Question 2: Will EID staff perform the permitting internally with support from the selected consultant? Or does EID plan to have the consultant prepare permits and interface with the regulatory agencies? Specifically for Alternate 2, what role will the proposer have in permit development and completion including agency interactions with CAL OSHA Division of Mining and Tunneling and with the FERC for the boundary adjustment requirements.
Answer 2: District will prepare environmental permits. District will look to consultant to work with CalOSHA, but will handle FERC requirements.

Question 3: As part of the prior investigation and feasibility phase of the project, EID had included a Geotechnical Baseline Report (GBR) as part of the scope. This was removed from that initial scope as it is a document that would be included during the design phase; however, it is not shown as a scope item and deliverable in this RFP. Is EID expecting to receive a GBR as part of the Task 6 Design phase of this project should the tunnel alternative be selected after the 30% design memo?

Answer 3: The Geotechnical Report that Gannett Fleming prepared in February of 2020 is the report that will be used to prepare the plans for the tunnel option.

Question 4: Can EID extend the proposal length beyond 14 pages? Given the tasks to be included we would request an increase to 18 pages.

Answer 4: The proposal length can be 18 pages.

Question 5: Can EID provide electronic copies of the parting plan (strike and dip) results from NorCal in either Excel or a Dips file?

Answer 5: EID currently does not have this information in Excel or Dips file. We can see if that information can be obtained after the award of the contract for design.

Question 6: Can the tunnel alignment shown in proposal be modified as part of design, straighten, without the two angle points?

Answer 6: Alignment can be changed, but needs to stay within the Districts property limits unless easement rights can be obtained.

Question 7: Will EID require access to the tunnel for inspection?

Answer 7: Yes, the tunnel will need to be inspected during the outage period.

Question 8: Does EID use pre-qualification or qualifications for contractors to ensure project quality? What is planned for on this project?

Answer 8: It is anticipated that all contractors will be pre-qualified prior to bidding.

Question 9: Can construction of the tunnel extend to two outages? Alternatively can tunnel construction proceed before the outage, with construction at the portals beginning once the outage has started?

Answer 9: Construction schedule can be discussed during design. It is hopeful that if the tunnel option is preferred, that it can be constructed while the canal is in service and then tied in during the normal outage period.
Question 10: Are there any FERC requirements that have not been disclosed in this contract?

Answer 10: Consultant will prepare the QCIP and help support the District with preparation technical information and exhibits for the FERC license amendment. Consultant should plan on 40 hours for the support in preparing the technical information and exhibits for the FERC license amendment.

THIS ADDENDUM AND ALL OTHERS ISSUED SHALL BE PART OF THE PROPOSAL AND CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

Cary Mutschler, P.E., Senior Civil Engineer  
13 Oct 2020

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 2